

## ChargeUK Board: Minutes of Meeting

Date: Wednesday 27 March 2024, 11am

### Attendees:

Chris Pateman-Jones, Steve Dunlop, James McKemey (JMK), Ian Johnston, Rosanna Turnham, Jarrod Birch, Harry Methley, James Millar (JM), Krisha Indrakumar, Emma Cutler

### Discussion

#### *Introduction*

1. Welcomes and introductions were exchanged, with the competition policy flagged.

#### *Reports from working groups*

2. HM updated on the reports of the working groups, noting there was consensus with the second draft of the ChargeUK Manifesto. This will be designed and be finalised this week.
3. CPJ noted that impact expectations need to be managed ahead of launching the manifesto and what we want the impact to be, in particular in Parliament. There was discussion around how impact would be measured, with HM proposing potential launch ideas such as an official launch in Parliament or a media push. It was noted that an impact assessment could either be done in house with the allocated budget or could be commissioned, although the later would be more expensive.
4. RT spoke on the fact that doing a press release is standard, but an event will have a cost attached to it and this needs to be considered.
5. CPJ noted that ChargeUK need to showcase their work on a greater scale, similar to what ReCharge have been doing. It was suggested that linking the 'Accelerating Infrastructure' report to the manifesto would be beneficial.
6. CPJ noted that although we have good government relationships, ChargeUK need stronger relationships on a political level. It was agreed that doing a list of stakeholders to engage with would be useful for a post-election engagement plan.
7. HM noted that the Secretariat will take this away and draft a plan to circulate, of which this will include a list of stakeholders.

#### *Working Groups*

8. HM noted nomination for the remaining vacancy were opened yesterday, and that the working groups will be set up after Easter.

#### *Transparency Policy*

9. HM noted that this had been circulated, flagging that an additional member raised a concern recent regarding media interviews. With the transparency policy circulated, it should now be clearer to members.
10. CPJ flagged he will be speaking at an event in the coming weeks, where he will be speaking on behalf of Connected Kerb.

*Membership agreement*

11. HM noted that the lawyer is currently drafting the corrected articles. Members will be asked how they wish to sign, and then a DocuSign will be shared for final approval.

*LEVI*

12. JB gave an update on the Cenex workshop last week.
13. HM flagged the LEVI CPO Working Group, and the LEVI Fund Interview, noting that we need a speaker for both of these. JB noted that he is happy to do these, but will agree messaging with the Policy Committee to understand requirements.
14. CPJ noted that he has been asked to speak at the latter by Cenex as Connected Kerb.
15. SD questioned whether there is an equivalent for Scotland/Wales. CPJ suggested we bring this up in the next meetings.

*Accessibility*

16. JMK noted that it would be useful to get an update on the PAS1899 meeting, and get a more extensive readout.
17. RT queried whether we could get a more robust ChargeUK position on PAS1899.
18. SD suggested that we should discuss this with other sectors. RT questioned whether there is a negotiation position that we can commit to.
19. CPJ noted that there does need to be an agreed messaging ChargeUK puts out. It was suggested that we liaise with councils to understand their similar concerns, as there is a lot of alignment.

*AOB*

20. HM flagged that the Secretariat are devising the forward plan for open meetings and future ChargeUK events.
21. JMK noted the fire risk issue, noting that he will be speaking to Home Office officials regarding this.

Actions

1. Secretariat to devise a ChargeUK Manifesto launch plan.
2. Board to agree who will be speaking on behalf of ChargeUK at upcoming LEVI meetings.
3. Secretariat to draft a PAS1899 position paper.